

# **Exhibit H**

SANTZE/SCANA 1/14/16 Re 3<sup>rd</sup> PARTY ASSMNT

SANTZE: LONNIE/M. BAXLEY/M. CRUGBY/S. PELCHER/M. CHERRY

SCANA: KBM/JBA/SAB/RYN LINDSAY/A. BYNUM

G. WENICK.

GW GAVE OVERVIEW OF WHY WE ENGAGED BECHTEL

LC A YEAR AGO SANTZE APPROACHED SCANA W/ NOTION OF 3<sup>rd</sup> PARTY ASSMNT AS PROJ WASN'T GOING WELL - HAD TO DO SOMETHING,

SANTZE BOARD IS TUNED IN TO THIS

PROTECTING FROM LITIGATION PERSPECTIVE IS IN CONFLICT W/ ORIG INTENT

PROTECTION DOESN'T MEAN ONLY GW CAN SEE REPT. - SANTZE HTY AT LEAST MUST SEE

GW I'M JUST COUNSEL AND LOOK FOR GUIDANCE

MANY BECHTEL RECOMMENDATIONS MUST BY NEW AGREEMENT

RE SKED THEY WOULD NEED TO HAVE "MORE ROBUST" LOOK @ SKED

CONCERN W/ BECHTEL METHOD FOR SKED PREDICTION

KBM WE DIDN'T ENGAGE TO COME UP W/ NEW PREDICTED COMPLETION DATE

GW IF WE DON'T A THEIR PREDICTION WILL BE VIEWED AS OWNERS OPINION - CONSEQUENCES

LC OTHER SKED ESTIMATES NO LONGER RELEVANT - NEED FLUOR INPUT ON WHAT THEY CAN DO.

COULD WE HAVE 2 REPORTS ONE PUBLIC/ONE NOT

GW DISCLOSURE ISSUES - POTENTIALLY

I'M CONSTRUCTION LAWYER I'M TELLING YOU THAT MUCH OF INFO PROVIDED IS NOT USEFUL PARTICULARLY SKED WHICH IS BASED ON 'DIR PREDICTIONS'

RL HIRED GW AS CONST LITIGATION EXPERT

LC DON'T WANT BECHTEL TO 'WHISPER IN MARKET' THAT WE WHITELASHED REPORT

MB WE'RE ON SAME TEAM - CAN'T DEFEND NOT SEEING WHAT IS IN DRAFT REPORT

RL CAN HAVE GW EXPLAIN TO YOUR BOARD

KBM PRESENTATION IN OCT WAS THE REPORT - DIDN'T DIRECT ANYTHING ELSE

LET COUNSEL GO THROUGH DRAFT TODAY AND SEE IF WE CAN AGREE

SP NEED WORDS AROUND STANDARDS USED FOR REVIEW.

GW STD IS RELIABILITY